Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/049,365	VACHON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher Upton	1724
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Christopher Upton</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Catherine Gemrich</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 10, 18, 30 and 31 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed to ament claims 10, 18, 30 and 31 to provide a means-plus-function basis for the functions claimed.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
E .		
,		
CHRISTOPHER UPTON PRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative Sig	jnature – if appropriate)